

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/800,624 | 03/07/2001 | Klaus Gassenmeier | GRISA/710 | 7473 |
| 26875 | 7590 11/29/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP | | | WONG, LESLIE A | |
| 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CINCINNATI, OH 45202 | | | 1761 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/800,624 | GASSENMEIEF | R ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Leslie Wong | 1761 | | | | | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| WHI0 - Exte afte - If No - Faile Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perione to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 | 5 Sentember 2005 | | | | | | |
| | _ | This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | , | | tters, prosecution as to t | he merits is | | | | |
| , | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | · | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 9-21 is/are pending in the applica | tion. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | | | | | |
| 10)[| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form I | PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P | TO-152) | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | , | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/800,624

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 9-16 are allowed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiba et al (GB 1409209) in view of Ashurst for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action.

Chiba et al disclose the claimed compounds (see entire document, especially page 1, lines 47-74).

The claims differ as to the specific use of the claimed compounds as flavoring agents.

Ashurst discloses the well-known use of mercaptans as flavoring agents where the mercaptans have low threshold values (see pages 155-157).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the compounds of Chiba et al as flavorants because the use of mercaptans as flavoring agents is conventional in the art as taught by Ashurst.

Once the art has recognized the use of mercaptans as flavorants, the use of any and all mercaptans as flavorants would be no more than obvious to one of skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,624

Art Unit: 1761

In the absence of a showing to the contrary, the claimed amounts are seen to be no more than a matter of choice and well-within the skill of the art. At most the claimed amounts are seen to be optimization, see In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed September 15, 2005 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 17 and 18 based upon 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action for the following reasons.

Claims 17 and 18 are directed to a product containing the compound taught by Chiba et al. The product as claimed contains no other components and is met by Chiba et al. Applicant's arguments are directed to the process claims whereas claims 17 and 18 are product claims and as currently claimed are met by the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Application/Control Number: 09/800,624

Art Unit: 1761

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lesfie Wong Primary Examiner

blie wong

Art Unit 1761

LAW November 23, 2005